

(GlobeCom 2020)

Mobile Edge Computing (MEC) Network Control: Tradeoff Between Delay and Cost

Yang Cai, Jaime Llorca, Antonia M. Tulino, Andreas F. Molisch

University of Southern California New York University



University of Southern California

Background



- Augmented Information (AgI) Services
 - Communication + Computation
 - The example of *augmented reality*



- Not suitable to complete the computation tasks at user equipment (UE)
 - Why: restricted computation capability + limited power
 - How: offload the tasks to cloud networks



Background



- Mobile Edge Computing network
 - Computation resource -> end user





Background

- Related Problems
 - Task offloading
 - Packet routing and scheduling
 - Resource allocation

Each individual problem is difficult Joint optimization is more complicated

- Performance Metrics: *average delay* and *resource cost*
 - In general, there is a tradeoff
 - Better delay -> data-center in proximity -> can be expensive
 - Cheap network location -> can be remote -> excessive delay
 - Goal of this work: to design a control policy that trades off the two metrics





System Model

- Cloud Network
 - Nodes: AP & UE
 - Computation resource choice $k_i(t)$: computation capability $C_{k_i(t)}$, config cost $s_{k_i(t)}$
 - Wired links between APs
 - Transmission resource choice $k_{ij}(t)$: transmission capability $C_{k_{ij}(t)}$, config cost $s_{k_{ij}(t)}$
 - Wireless links between AP and UE

$$R_{ij}(t) = \left(\frac{B}{F}\right) x_{ij}(t) \log_2\left(1 + \frac{g_{ij}(t)p_{ij}(t)}{\sigma_{ij}^2}\right)$$

- Downlink (AP->UE) : beamforming
- Uplink (UE->AP): 1 to 1 communication $\sum_{j \in \tilde{\delta}_i^+} x_{ij}(t) \le 1, \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{V}_a$
- Transmission power constraints

$$\sum_{j \in \tilde{\delta}_i^+} \frac{p_{ij}(t)}{p_i} \le P_i, \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{V}$$







System Model

- Service Function Chain
 - AgI Service ϕ = Function 1 + ... + Function m + ... + Function M_{ϕ}

packets of stage m

packets of stage m + 1

- Parameter of function m: scaling factor $\xi_{\phi}^{(m)}$, workload $r_{\phi}^{(m)}$
- Commodity (u, ϕ, m) (to distinguish the packets)
 - destination node u
 - requested service ϕ
 - current stage *m*



Queuing System

- Queues and Flow Variables
 - Queues $Q_i^{(u,\phi,m)}(t)$ for different commodities (u,ϕ,m)
 - Flow variable
 - Processing flow $\mu_{i,\text{pr}}^{(u,\phi,m)}(t)$
 - Transmission flow $\mu_{ij}^{(u,\phi,m)}(t)$
- Queuing dynamics

$$Q_{i}^{(u,\phi,m)}(t+1) \leq \max\left\{0, \ Q_{i}^{(u,\phi,m)}(t) - \mu_{i,\mathrm{pr}}^{(u,\phi,m)}(t) - \sum_{j\in\delta_{i}^{+}}\mu_{ij}^{(u,\phi,m)}(t)\right\} + \frac{\mu_{ij}^{(u,\phi,m)}(t)}{\sum_{j\in\delta_{i}^{-}}\mu_{ji}^{(u,\phi,m)}(t) + a_{i}^{(u,\phi,m)}(t)}$$

Flow received from computation $\mu_{\text{pr},i}^{(u,\phi,m+1)}(t) = \xi_{\phi}^{(m)} \mu_{i,\text{pr}}^{(u,\phi,m)}(t)$





Studied Problem

min

oblem
$$h_{1}(t) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \left[s_{k_{i}(t)} + c_{\text{pr},i} \sum_{(u,\phi,m)} r_{\phi}^{(m)} \mu_{i,\text{pr}}^{(u,\phi,m)}(t) \right] + \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{E}_{b}} \left[s_{k_{ij}(t)} + c_{\text{tr},ij} \sum_{(u,\phi,m)} \mu_{ij}^{(u,\phi,m)}(t) \right] + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} c_{\text{wt},i} \sum_{j \in \tilde{\delta}_{i}^{+}} x_{ij}(t) p_{ij}(t) \tau, \quad (9)$$

s.t. $\overline{h_2} = \kappa^{\mathrm{T}} \overline{\{Q(t)\}} < \infty$, (Goal 2: average delay) $\boldsymbol{\mu}(t) \geq 0,$ $\mu_{\mathrm{pr},i}^{(u,\phi,m+1)}(t) = \xi_{\phi}^{(m)} \mu_{i,\mathrm{pr}}^{(u,\phi,m)}(t), \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{V},$ $\sum_{(u,\phi,m)} \mu_{i,\mathrm{pr}}^{(u,\phi,m)}(t) r_{\phi}^{(m)} \leq C_{k_{i}(t)}, \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{V}$ $\sum_{(u,\phi,m)} \mu_{ij}^{(u,\phi,m)}(t) \leq \begin{cases} C_{k_{ij}(t)} & \forall (i,j) \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{b}} \\ R_{ij}(t) \\ \mathcal{T} & \forall (i,j) \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{a}} \end{cases}$ Capacity constraint $R_{ij}(t) = \left(\frac{B}{F}\right) x_{ij}(t) \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{g_{ij}(t)p_{ij}(t)}{\sigma_{ij}^2}\right)$ $\sum_{j \in \tilde{\delta}_i^+} p_{ij}(t) \le P_i, \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{V}$ $\sum_{j \in \tilde{\delta}_i^+} x_{ij}(t) \le 1, \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{V}_a$



Proposed Design



- Solve the problem by Lyapunov drift-plus-penalty (LDP) approach
 - Linear combination of drift and penalty weighted by parameter V





Performance Analysis



• The delay performance

$$\overline{h_2} \leq \frac{B_0}{\epsilon} + \frac{\left[\overline{h_1}^* (\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \epsilon \mathbf{1}) - \overline{h_1}^* (\boldsymbol{\lambda})\right] V}{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{O}(V)$$

• The cost performance

optimal cost

$$\overline{h_1} \leq \overline{\overline{h_1}}^*(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) + \frac{B_0}{V} \sim \mathcal{O}(1/V)$$

• The algorithm is fully distributed and efficient



Numerical Experiments



- Network Setup
 - 4 APs serving 100 UEs (random walk)
 - 3GPP urban microcell model
 - 100 MHz band allocated for each AP
- AgI services

Service 1: $\xi_1^{(1)} = 1$, $\xi_1^{(2)} = 2$; $1/r_1^{(1)} = 300$, $1/r_1^{(2)} = 400$ Service 2: $\xi_2^{(1)} = \frac{1}{3}$, $\xi_2^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}$; $1/r_2^{(1)} = 200$, $1/r_2^{(2)} = 100$



AVAILABLE RESOURCES AND COSTS OF THE MEC NETWORK (ON THE BASIS OF SECOND)

	User $i \in \mathcal{V}_{a}$	Edge Server $i \in \mathcal{V}_{b}$
Computation	$\mathcal{K}_i = \{0, 1\}, C_{k_i} = k_i \text{CPUs}, s_{k_i} = 5k_i, c_{\text{pr},i} = 1 / \text{CPU}$	$\mathcal{K}_i = \{0, \cdots, 10\}, C_{k_i} = 5k_i \text{ CPUs}, s_{k_i} = 5k_i, c_{\text{pr},i} = .2 / \text{CPU}$
Wired Links	No wired transmission between users	$\mathcal{K}_{ij} = \{0, \cdots, 5\}, C_{k_{ij}} = 10k_{ij} \text{ Gbps}, s_{k_{ij}} = k_{ij}, c_{\text{tr},ij} = 1 / \text{Gb}$
Wireless Links	$P_i = 200 \mathrm{mW}, c_{\mathrm{wt},i} = 1 /\mathrm{W}$	$P_i = 10 \mathrm{W}, c_{\mathrm{wt},i} = .2 /\mathrm{W}$



Numerical Experiments



- Stable region
 - The maximum arrival rate of requests that the considered network can support





Numerical Experiments



• Delay-Cost Tradeoff





Conclusions



- MEC can aid the delivery of real-time AgI services requested by end users, which can significantly improve the stable region
- The developed LDP-based algorithm can trade off the delay and cost performance, i.e., achieving near-optimal resource cost with guaranteed average delay performance
- The developed LDP-based algorithm is efficient and fully distributed



Q & A



- Thanks for joining the talk
- References
 - Y. Cai, J. Llorca, A. M. Tulino, and A. F. Molisch, "Mobile edge computing network control: Tradeoff between delay and cost," *arXiv*.
 - H. Feng, J. Llorca, A. M. Tulino, and A. F. Molisch, "Optimal dynamic cloud network control," *IEEE/ACM Trans. Netw.*.
 - H. Feng, J. Llorca, A. M. Tulino, and A. F. Molisch,, "Optimal control of wireless computing networks," *IEEE Trans.Wireless Commun.*.
- Any questions, comments, please contact via
 - Email: <u>yangcai@usc.edu</u>
 - Website: <u>https://wides.usc.edu/</u>

